

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,088	07/18/2001	Avi Ashkenazi	P1618P2C79	1981
30313	7590 05/20/2003			
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP			EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614		ANDRES, JANET L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· Office Astion Comment		Application No.					
		09/909,088	ASHKENAZI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•		Janet L. Andres	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 F	ebruary 2003 .					
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-fina	I.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	on of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 39-58 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊡ Claim(s) <u>39-58</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receive	ed.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  btice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  her:				

Application/Control Number: 09/909,088 Page 2

Art Unit: 1646

#### **RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT**

1. Applicant's amendment filed 27 February 2003 is acknowledged. Claims 39-58 are pending and under examination in this application. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code, not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

## Claim Rejections/Objections Withdrawn

- 2. The objection to the specification is withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendment.
- 3. The rejection of claims 39-44, 46, 48, and 52-58 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendment.
- 4. The rejection of claims 52 and 54 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendment.

## Claim Rejections Maintained/New Grounds of Rejection

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claims 39-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility.

The only activity disclosed for the polypeptide is the ability to stimulate proliferation in a mixed lymphocyte reaction. Applicant asserts, based on the MLR reaction that compounds which stimulate proliferation of lymphocytes are useful therapeutically where enhancement of an immune response is beneficial. This is not a substantial utility because there is no information regarding the correlation of the MLR results to any real-life diseases. There is no information regarding what subsets of immune responses, immune cell types etc. are targeted by compounds

The invention further lacks a well-established utility. Applicant has not described the claimed protein as being a member of any particular class or type of protein that would impart it with a utility. All that is disclosed is that the protein is stimulatory in a mixed lymphocyte reaction. As is set forth above, there is no utility associated with this activity; identifying a protein as having this general property fails to indicate how this particular protein or the polynucleotides encoding it.

- 7. Claims 39-58 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well-established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.
- 8. The rejection of claims 39-43 and 52-58 as lacking enablement and written description for variants of the disclosed sequence is maintained. Applicant's amendment incorporating a functional limitation is not sufficient to overcome this rejection because the functional limitation is not considered to be a definite use of the polypeptide for the reasons set forth above.
- 9. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/909,088

Art Unit: 1646

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

910. Claims 39-43 and 52-58 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the corresponding claims of copending Application No. 09/907613. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the polynucleotides are 99% identical to each other and thus many of the same polynucleotides would be encompassed by the claims.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

### NO CLAIM IS ALLOWED.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet Andres, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-0557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D., can be reached at (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306 or (703) 872-9307 for after final communications.

Communications via internet mail regarding this application, other than those under U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [yvonne.eyler@uspto.gov].

Application/Control Number: 09/909,088 Page 5
Art Unit: 1646

All Internet email communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

. Andres

May 19, 2003